Division Bench (Criminal)

CA 345 - 101 (2)

XIV-8/(Jail)
C.J.P.R.-2006-88

Court of Madhya Pradesh
HIGH COURT BENCH
20 MAR 1991
124

# APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 3343 Name गणेश प्रताप सिंह

Father's name तिलकराज सिंह, साकिन - तारा बहरा थाना केतहारी

Residence जिला - सरगुजा (म०प्र०) Age 33 वर्ष (पुरुष)

Sentenced आजीवन कारावास on 6.2.91

Under section 302/34 IPC by सत्र न्यायाधीश सरगुजा के अतिरिक्त न्यायाधीश
201 - 5 yrs
Sessions Judge (Additional) मनेन्द्रगढ़ जिला - सरगुजा (म०प्र०)
सत्र प्रकरण क्रमांक 51/88

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 6.2.91

2 Date of which copy received 21.2.91

3 Date on which Appeal sent 23.2.91

4 whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not – Yes / No.

---

No. 3343 Name गणेश प्रताप सिंह S/o तिलकराज सिंह

Continued in केन्द्रीय Jail रायपुर (म०प्र०)

No. 68/Octagan91 Dated 23.2.91 198

Forward to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ माननीय अतिरिक्त पेंशीयक महोदय
मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय जबलपुर
to gather which a copy of order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

अधीक्षक,
Jail
केन्द्रीय जेल, रायपुर

Superintendent

Date of receipt in C. J. M.'s office

Date of receipt record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appellate court

No Dated 198

Forwarded to the

C. J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appellate Court

(P. T. O.)

(1) (20)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक G.A. 345/91 सन् ~~200~~

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **DIVISION BENCH: -**<br>**HON'BLE MR. L.C. BHADOO AND HON'BLE MR. D.R. DESHMUKH, JJ.**<br>**5-10-2005**<br>When the matter is called out, none present on behalf of the appellant. No representation is made.<br>Heard Mr. U.N.S. Deo, Public Prosecutor for the State/respondent.<br>Mr. U.N.S. Deo, learned Public Prosecutor has submitted an application to the effect that on completion of the sentence, the accused/ appellant has already been released on 1-11-2001.<br>In view of the above facts, it seems that the appellant has no interest in prosecuting the appeal and he is not being represented also. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.<br>Sd/-<br>L.C. BHADOO<br>Judge<br>Sd/-<br>Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh<br>Judge | |

Soma

Gr. PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.